

Department of Environmental Quality

February 14, 2012

USEPA REGION 6 Attn: Mr. Shawn Ghose Mail Code: 6SF 1445 Ross Avenue, Suite 1200 Dallas, TX 75202-2733

RE: New Cricket Spring Water Quality Standards, Arkwood Superfund Site, Omaha, Arkansas; EPA ID No. ARD084930148; AFIN: 05-00003

Dear Mr. Ghose:

Arkwood is a Superfund site under the direct authority of EPA. The surface water regulations, Arkansas Pollution Control and Ecology Commission (APC&EC) Regulation No. 2 would be an applicable or relevant and appropriate requirement (ARAR). Water Quality Standards and the basis for calculating a specific standard are given in APC&EC Regulation 2. Definitions for all terms used are also in the Regulation.

Because the State Water Quality Standard is pH based, surface water sample(s) proximal to the site are used to determine if the standard should be changed. A review of APC&EC Regulation 2 indicates that the equation for the calculation has not changed since the ROD was issued. In addition, pH data should be included in the weir discharge sample to ensure it falls between 6.0 and 9.0 pH.

The pH data from the nearest ADEQ Water quality monitoring station (WHI0071) for the period 2004-2009 was averaged for use in the calculation. Periodic updates may be made based on more recent or site specific data. This would be done with each five year review of the NPL site at a minimum. Pentachlorophenol Calculations for Surface Discharge, Per Reg. 2.508, the Pentachlorophenol aquatic life water quality standards (WOS) are as follows:

Acute e[1.005(pH)-4.869]

Chronic

pH = 7.84 s.u.

e[1.005(pH)-5.134]

The pH used in calculating the standards, 7.84 s.u., is the average pH taken at monitoring station WHI0071 from 2004 – 2009.

Acute Standard e[1.005(7.84)-4.869] = 20:29 $\mu g/1$ Chronic Standard e[1.005(7.84)-5.134] = 15.57 $\mu g/1$

ARKANSAS DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY
5301 NORTHSHORE DRIVE / NORTH LITTLE ROCK / ARKANSAS 72118-5317 / TELEPHONE 501-682-0744 / FAX 501-682-0880
www.odeq.state.ar.us

Reasonable potential for water quality violations is determined by comparing the effluent data to the WQS without taking into account a background flow because the 7Q10 of the receiving stream is 0 cfs. In accordance with the procedures outlined in the Continuing Planning Process (CPP), the highest effluent test result is compared to the water quality standards because over twenty data points exist. The highest effluent test result is 20 μ g/l which occurred on July 10, 2008. It is important to note that higher test results occurred on October 22, 2007, and July 7, 2008. Those test results, 53.7 μ g/l and 189 μ g/l respectively, were not used because it appears as though those results were not representative of the effluent.

Comparison with Acute Standard 20 μ g/l < 20.29 μ g/l Comparison with Chronic Standard 20 μ g/l > 15.57 μ g/l

Organisms in the effluent discharge stream experience chronic exposure, therefore; the chronic standard of 15.57 μ g/l is the appropriate standard for the Arkwood Site. With respect to the previously calculated values, the monthly average would be 15.57 μ g/l and the daily maximum would be 20.29 μ g/l.

In addition to measuring pH at the sample points, temperature and dissolved oxygen data should be collected. APC&EC Regulation 2.505 requires that dissolved oxygen (D.O.) levels meet or exceed 6.0 mg/l. During the months of March, April, and May, when discharge levels at the weir exceed 15 CFS, the D.O. standard is 6.5 mg/l.

If you have any questions, please contact me at 501-682-0660 or via e-mail at clem@adeg.state.ar,us.

Sincerely

Sarah Clem

ADEQ Branch Manager

Water Quality Planning, Water Division

cc: M. Moix, Engineer P.E., Hazardous Waste Division, ADEQ



HAZARDOUS WASTE DIVISION ROUTING SLIP

June 21, 2012

Subject: Arkwood, Inc. CERCLA Superfund Site

From: Mark Moix

MM 6-71-2012

T-3-2012 (31-3) draft)

Route in turn to:	Action Needed	<u>Initials</u>	<u>Date</u>
Grant Kneebone	Concurrence Review (by DK)	au.	6-25-12
Dianna Kilburn		Vi-	What 12 W Comment
Jay Rich	Concurrence Review	12	7-11-12
Annette Cusher	Concurrence Review	AC	10/3/12
Shane Byrum	□ Concurrence ▶ Review	58	6/26/12
Sarah Clem	Concurrence Review	2	7-2-12 7-5-12
Mo Shafii	Concurrence Review	and a	7-2-12
Tammie Hynum	Concurrence Review	All S	7/11/12 comman 2/11/12
Ryan Benefield	Concurrence Review	713	7/12/12

^{*}Note: Marking the Concurrence box indicates the individual agrees with the applicable text as it relates to their individual discipline and Work Section (e.g., Engineer; Risk Assessor; Geology; Compliance; Policy/Management), as applicable. Marking the Review box indicates the individual has read the document.

DISPOSITION:

Return to Mark Moix 682-0852

COMMENTS: Approval letter with comments for the Arkwood, Inc. Groundwater Remediation Summary dated June 2012